

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/654,939	12/22/2000	Jae Moon Jo	Q60198	7212
Damil Maria	7590 02/27/2008	•	EXAM	INER
Darryl Mexic Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			LILLIS, EILEEN DUNN	
2100 Pennsylva Washington, D	ania Avenue NW C 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,	,	• •	2624	
	,		[
			MAIL DATE 02/27/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
No dia and Albandanana	09/654,939	JO ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eileen Lillis	2624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
This application is abandoned in view of:		
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of (b) ☒ A proposed reply was received on 2/21/06, but it does	Mailing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	_), which is after the expiration of the
rejection.		and a description of the
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		tempt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 		in the statutory period of three months
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa), which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certifieriod for payment of the issue fee (icate of Mailing or Transmission dated and publication fee) set in the Notice of
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 3	7 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	ot been received.	
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-montl	n period set in, the Notice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated), which is
(b) \(\subseteq \) No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the a	ssignee of the entire interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	n attorney or agent (acting in a repr	esentative capacity under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		use the period for seeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:		
see attached for a some of the errors found in the r	reissue application.	Eileen Lillis SPE Art Unit: 2624
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdr	aw the holding of abandonment under 3	

Application/Control Number:

09/654,939 Art Unit: 2624 Page 2

Below is a list of problems in the current reissue application:

All of the children of the current application need to be identified in first line of specification.

It is not clear what the status of the claims is. The first page of the transmittal document filed 8/31/00 canceled claims 1-11. Only claims 1-7 were in the patent and claims 8-17 were added in the in the amendment of 8/31/00. An amendment to claims filed on 1/11/02 indicated to cancel claims 4-7 and 12-17. This is contrary to the previous amendment. However, prosecution followed from the later filed amendment.

The amendment that was filed 2/21/06 is improper under 37 CFR 1.173. All non patented allowed claims (claims 9-11, 20-22) must be presented by underlining in their entirety. There should be no striking or bracketing of deleted language from the claims. This language needs to be kept out of the current claim for consideration.

Applicants establishment of ownership under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must include a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or is being submitted for recordation pursuant to 37 CFR 3.11. I did not see any statement to this extent.

The consent of assignee filed 12/22/2000 is a copy of the consent used in the original reissue application. According to MPEP 1410.01 this is improper. A new consent of assignee is needed for each reissue application that is filed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Eileen Lillis at telephone number 571-272-6928.

SUPERING PUTENT EXAMINER